IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 38 (SS) of 2006

Sri Satish Kumar, aged about 46 years,
S/o Sri Nand Ram,
Gali No. 2, C – Block,
Shastri Nagar,
Jwalapur,
Hardwar. …… Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal, through Additional Director, National Savings Directorate, Uttaranchal, 23, Lakshami Road, Dalanwala, Dehradun.

2. District Saving Officer, District – Hardwar.

3. District Development Officer, District –Haridwar.

…….Respondents.

Hon'ble B. S. Verma, J.:

Heard Sri Shobhit Saharia, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned standing counsel for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is posted as Sr. Clerk in the office of District Saving Officer, District – Haridwar and had an unblemished service record of more than 24 years. On 20.08.2005, respondent no. 2 sought explanations from the petitioner. Petitioner replied for the explanations on 12.09.2005 and 13.09.2005. Thereafter, respondent no. 2 set up an enquiry and res pondent no. 3 was appointed as an Inquiry Officer. Chargesheet dated 07.09.2005 was served upon the petitioner. Petitioner replied the

chargesheet on 14.09.2005. On 17.12.2005 respondent no. 2 put the petitioner under suspension.

Without going into the merit of the case, I direct the Disciplinary Authority to conclude the inquiry and pass final order within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of the order. Till then the suspension order dated 17.12.2005 shall be kept in abeyance.

With this direction the writ petition is finally disposed of. No order as costs.

(B. S. Verma, J)

January 12, 2006
SKSharma